

Hi, I'm Alias. I'm in the United States. And my \_\_\_\_\_ (questions / question) is, do you think large charity organizations are \_\_\_\_\_ (effects / effective)?

I \_\_\_\_\_ (admire / admit) large charity organizations for what they do. People had to start \_\_\_\_\_ (it / them), they had to fund them, and they have to have a \_\_\_\_\_ (mission / motion) that's beneficial to \_\_\_\_\_ (humankind / humans). I think they are very effective in the people that they help. Charities have undoubtedly \_\_\_\_\_ (helped / helps), if not millions of people, tens of millions, \_\_\_\_\_ (hundreds / hundred) of millions.

However, they can't do the big \_\_\_\_\_ (walk / work). They can't do the largest jobs. Society and \_\_\_\_\_ (politics / policy) and the way things are structured, government \_\_\_\_\_ (on / down), needs to be structured in a way that \_\_\_\_\_ (helps / help) people the way that charities have been \_\_\_\_\_ (set / sat) up to do.

Charities are a temporary solution to permanent \_\_\_\_\_ (problems / problem). Charities are admirable, but they will just \_\_\_\_\_ (continue / continues) to take money, funnel them into these things that need \_\_\_\_\_ (it / them). But society needs to be \_\_\_\_\_ (structure / structured) in a way that charities don't need to \_\_\_\_\_ (exist / exit), to help people without the need for people to \_\_\_\_\_ (donations / donate), without the need for \_\_\_\_\_ (charity / charitable).